

CS 591 S2—Formal Language Theory: Integrating Experimentation and Proof—Fall 2018

Problem Set 3

Model Answers

Problem 1

First, we use Forlan to bind the identifier `ys` to the language $\{0,1\}^4 - \{0101, 1010\}$, which has 14 elements:

```
- val xs = StrSet.power(StrSet.fromString "0, 1", 4);
val xs = - : str set
- val ys = StrSet.minus(xs, StrSet.fromString "0101, 1010");
val ys = - : str set
- Set.size ys;
val it = 14 : int
- StrSet.output("", ys);
0000, 0001, 0010, 0011, 0100, 0110, 0111, 1000, 1001, 1011, 1100, 1101, 1110,
1111
val it = () : unit
```

Next, we turn `ys` into a regular expression, `reg`. We then simplify `reg`, using first `SOME 100`, and then `SOME 1000`, as the limits on structural reorganizations (using `NONE` would run too long to obtain an answer) and `Reg.obviousSubset` as the conservative approximation to subset testing, binding the results to `reg'` and `reg''`, respectively. We then verify that `reg'` and `reg''` are equal, and check that `reg'` is locally simplified:

```
- val reg = Reg.fromStrSet ys;
val reg = - : reg
- val (full', reg') = Reg.locallySimplify (SOME 100, Reg.obviousSubset) reg;
val full' = false : bool
val reg' = - : reg
- val (full'', reg'') = Reg.locallySimplify (SOME 1000, Reg.obviousSubset) reg;
val full'' = false : bool
val reg'' = - : reg
- Reg.equal(reg', reg'');
val it = true : bool
- Reg.locallySimplified Reg.obviousSubset reg';
val it = true : bool
```

(Of course, this doesn't let us conclude that using a higher limit in the call to `locallySimplify` wouldn't have yielded a result with lower complexity.) Finally, we display `reg'` and print out its closure complexity, size, number of concatenations, number of symbols, and standardization status:

```
- Reg.output("", reg');
```

```

0(0(0 + 1)(0 + 1) + 1(00 + 1(0 + 1))) + 1(0(00 + (0 + 1)1) + 1(0 + 1)(0 + 1))
val it = () : unit
- Reg.ccToList(Reg.cc reg');
val it = [0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0] : int list
- length it;
val it = 24 : int
- Reg.size reg';
val it = 47 : int
- Reg.numConcatS reg';
val it = 12 : int
- Reg.numSyms reg';
val it = 24 : int
- Reg.standardized reg';
val it = true : bool

```

Thus, our regular expression is

$$0(0(0 + 1)(0 + 1) + 1(00 + 1(0 + 1))) + 1(0(00 + (0 + 1)1) + 1(0 + 1)(0 + 1)).$$

Our regular expression is correct by construction, but we can also check its correctness directly:

```

- StrSet.equal(Reg.toStrSet reg', ys);
val it = true : bool

```

Problem 2

(a) If $\mathbf{hasEmp}(\alpha)$, i.e., $\% \in L(\alpha)$, then reduction rule (8) would also apply to $(\alpha\beta^*)^*$ ($\mathbf{hasEmp}(\beta^*)$ holds, because β^* is a closure), yielding $(\alpha + \beta^*)^*$. This can be restructured using structural rule (5) into $(\beta^* + \alpha)^*$, which can then be turned into $(\beta + \alpha)^*$ using reduction rule (7). So the rationale for restricting reduction rule (14) to only apply when $\mathbf{hasEmp}(\alpha)$ is false, is to prefer the above sequence of simplifications, over one starting with reduction rule (14).

(If the conservative approximation of sub is powerful enough, then reduction rule (14) can be followed by reduction rules (1) and (4), also resulting in $(\alpha + \beta)^*$. But it's nicer not to have to depend upon properties of sub , or to incur the cost of running sub .)

(b) First we prove a lemma:

Lemma PS3.2.1

For all $ns, ms \in \mathbf{CC}$, if $ns <_{cc} ms$ and $|ns| \geq |ms|$, then $[0] \cup ns <_{cc} ms$.

Proof. Suppose $ns, ms \in \mathbf{CC}$, $ns <_{cc} ms$ and $|ns| \geq |ms|$. Because $ns <_{cc} ms$ and ns is at least as long as ms , there is an $i \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ such that

- $i \leq |ns|$ and $i \leq |ms|$,
- for all $j \in [1 : i - 1]$, $ns j = ms j$; and
- $ns i < ms i$.

Thus it follows that $i \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$,

- $i \leq |ns| < |ns| + 1 = |ns @ [0]|$ and $i \leq |ms|$;
- for all $j \in [1 : i - 1]$, $(ns @ [0])j = nsj = msj$; and
- $(ns @ [0])i = nsi < msi$.

Because 0 is \leq every element of ns , we have that $[0] \cup ns = ns @ [0]$, and so we can conclude from the above that $[0] \cup ns <_{cc} ms$. \square

Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Reg}$ and $\mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta} <_{cc} \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}$. By Proposition 3.3.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{cc}(\% + \alpha(\alpha + \beta)^*) &= \mathbf{cc} \% \cup \mathbf{cc}(\alpha(\alpha + \beta)^*) = [0] \cup \mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \mathbf{cc}((\alpha + \beta)^*) \\ &= [0] \cup \mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc}(\alpha + \beta)} = [0] \cup \mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \mathbf{cc} \beta} \\ &= [0] \cup \mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \alpha} \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}, \\ \mathbf{cc}((\alpha\beta^*)^*) &= \overline{\mathbf{cc}(\alpha\beta^*)} = \overline{\mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \mathbf{cc}(\beta^*)} = \overline{\mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}} = \overline{\mathbf{cc} \alpha} \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus to show $\mathbf{cc}(\% + \alpha(\alpha + \beta)^*) <_{cc} \mathbf{cc}((\alpha\beta^*)^*)$, it will suffice to show $[0] \cup \mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \alpha} \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta} <_{cc} \overline{\mathbf{cc} \alpha} \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}$. By Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.3.3, it will suffice to show $[0] \cup \mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta} <_{cc} \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}$. And this follows by Lemma PS3.2.1, since $\mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta} <_{cc} \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}$ and $|\mathbf{cc} \alpha \cup \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}| \geq \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta} = \overline{\mathbf{cc} \beta}$.

(c) Suppose A and B are languages. To show that $(AB^*)^* = \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$, it will suffice to show that $(AB^*)^* \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^* \subseteq (AB^*)^*$.

$((AB^*)^* \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*)$ It will suffice to show that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(AB^*)^n \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$.

- **(basis step)** We have that $(AB^*)^0 = \{\%\} \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$.
- **(inductive step)** Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume the inductive hypothesis: $(AB^*)^n \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$. We must show that $(AB^*)^{n+1} \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have that $(AB^*)^{n+1} = (AB^*)(AB^*)^n \subseteq (AB^*)(\{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*)$. Thus it will suffice to show that $(AB^*)(\{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*) \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$. Suppose $w \in (AB^*)(\{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*)$, so that $w = xy$ for some $x \in AB^*$ and $y \in \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$. We must show that $w \in \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$. There are two subcases to consider.

- Suppose $y = \%$. Thus $w = xy = x\% = x \in AB^*$. But $B \subseteq A \cup B$, and thus $B^* \subseteq (A \cup B)^*$. Thus $w \in AB^* \subseteq A(A \cup B)^* \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$.
- Suppose $y \in A(A \cup B)^*$. Since $A \subseteq A \cup B$, it follows that $A \subseteq A^* \subseteq (A \cup B)^*$. Since $B \subseteq A \cup B$, it follows that $B^* \subseteq (A \cup B)^*$. Thus $w = xy \in AB^*A(A \cup B)^* \subseteq A(A \cup B)^*(A \cup B)^*(A \cup B)^* = A(A \cup B)^*(A \cup B)^* = A(A \cup B)^* \subseteq \{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^*$.

$(\{\%\} \cup A(A \cup B)^* \subseteq (AB^*)^*)$ Because $\% \in (AB^*)^*$, it will suffice to show that $A(A \cup B)^* \subseteq (AB^*)^*$. Let $X = \bigcup \{(AB^*)^{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $X \subseteq (AB^*)^*$, it will suffice to show that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A(A \cup B)^n \subseteq X$. (If $w \in A(A \cup B)^*$, then $w = xy$ for some $x \in A$ and $y \in (A \cup B)^*$, so that $y \in (A \cup B)^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $w = xy \in A(A \cup B)^n \subseteq X \subseteq (AB^*)^*$.) We proceed by mathematical induction.

- **(basis step)** We have that $A(A \cup B)^0 = A\{\% \} \subseteq AB^* \subseteq (AB^*)^1 = (AB^*)^{0+1} \subseteq X$.
- **(inductive step)** Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume the inductive hypothesis: $A(A \cup B)^n \subseteq X$. We must show that $A(A \cup B)^{n+1} \subseteq X$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have that $A(A \cup B)^{n+1} = A(A \cup B)^n(A \cup B) \subseteq X(A \cup B) = XA \cup XB$. So it remains to show that $XA \cup XB \subseteq X$, and we do this by showing that $XA \subseteq X$ and $XB \subseteq X$.

To see that $XA \subseteq X$, suppose $w \in XA$, so that $w = xy$ for some $x \in X$ and $y \in A$. Thus $x \in (AB^*)^{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have that $A = A\{\% \} \subseteq AB^* = (AB^*)^1$. Thus $w = xy \in (AB^*)^{n+1}A \subseteq (AB^*)^{n+1}(AB^*)^1 = (AB^*)^{(n+1)+1} \subseteq X$.

To see that $XB \subseteq X$, suppose $w \in XB$, so that $w = xy$ for some $x \in X$ and $y \in B$. Thus $x \in (AB^*)^{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(AB^*)^{n+1} = (AB^*)^n(AB^*) = (AB^*)^n AB^*$, we have that $x = tuv$ for some $t \in (AB^*)^n$ and $u \in A$ and $v \in B^*$. Thus $w = xy = tuv y \in (AB^*)^n AB^* B \subseteq (AB^*)^n AB^* = (AB^*)^n (AB^*)^1 = (AB^*)^{n+1} \subseteq X$.

(d) Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Reg}$. By part (c), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} L((\alpha\beta^*)^*) &= L(\alpha\beta^*)^* = (L(\alpha)L(\beta^*))^* = (L(\alpha)L(\beta)^*)^* = \{\%\} \cup L(\alpha)(L(\alpha) \cup L(\beta))^* \\ &= \{\%\} \cup L(\alpha)L(\alpha + \beta)^* = \{\%\} \cup L(\alpha)L((\alpha + \beta)^*) = L(\%\} \cup L(\alpha(\alpha + \beta)^*) \\ &= L(\% + \alpha(\alpha + \beta)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\alpha\beta^*)^* \approx \% + \alpha(\alpha + \beta)^*$.

Problem 3

(a)

$$(1 + 0^*11)^*0^*(\% + 1)$$

(b) Let

$$Y = \{1\} \cup \{0\}^*\{11\} \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \{0\}^*\{\%, 1\}.$$

Since $L(\alpha) = Y^*Z$, it will suffice to show that $Y^*Z = X$. We begin by proving some lemmas.

Let $U = \{w \in X \mid \text{neither } 0 \text{ nor } 01 \text{ is a suffix of } w\}$.

Lemma PS3.3.1

$UU \subseteq U$.

Proof. Suppose $w \in UU$, so that $w = xy$, for some $x, y \in U$. We must show that $w \in U$. Since $U \subseteq X \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$, we have that $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$.

Suppose, toward a contradiction, that $w \notin U$. Thus 010 is a substring of $w = xy$. Because $x, y \in U$, we have that $x, y \in X$. Thus there are two cases to consider.

- (0 is a suffix of x and 10 is a prefix of y) But this contradicts the fact that $x \in U$.
- (01 is a suffix of x and 0 is a prefix of y) But this contradicts the fact that $x \in U$.

Since we obtained a contradiction in both cases, we have an overall contradiction. Thus $w \in X$.

Suppose, toward a contradiction, that 0 is a suffix of w . Since $w = xy$, there are two cases to consider.

- (0 is a suffix of y) But this contradicts the fact that $y \in U$.
- (0 is a suffix of x and $y = \%$) But this contradicts the fact that $x \in U$.

Since we obtained a contradiction in both cases, we have an overall contradiction. Thus 0 is not a suffix of w .

Suppose, toward a contradiction, that 01 is a suffix of w . Since $w = xy$, there are three cases to consider.

- (01 is a suffix of y) But this contradicts the fact that $y \in U$.
- (0 is a suffix of x , and $y = 1$) But this contradicts the fact that $x \in U$.
- (01 is a suffix of x , and $y = \%$) But this contradicts the fact that $x \in U$.

Since we obtained a contradiction in all three cases, we have an overall contradiction. Thus 01 is not a suffix of w .

Since $w \in X$, and neither 0 nor 01 is a suffix of w , we have that $w \in U$. \square

Lemma PS3.3.2

$Y \subseteq U$.

Proof. Suppose $w \in Y$. We must show that $w \in U$. There are two cases to consider.

- ($w = 1$) Since $1 \in X$ and neither 0 nor 01 is a suffix of 1 , we have that $w = 1 \in U$.
- ($w \in \{0\}^*\{11\}$) Hence $w = 0^n 11$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since even 10 isn't a substring of w , we have that $w \in X$. And, since neither 0 nor 01 is a suffix of 11 , we have that neither 0 nor 01 is a suffix of w . Thus $w \in U$.

\square

Lemma PS3.3.3

$Y^* \subseteq U$.

Proof. It will suffice to show that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $Y^n \subseteq U$. We proceed by mathematical induction.

(Basis) Since $\% \in U$, we have that $Y^0 = \{\%\} \subseteq U$.

(Inductive Step) Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume the inductive hypothesis: $Y^n \subseteq U$. We must prove that $Y^{n+1} \subseteq U$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{n+1} &= Y Y^n \\ &\subseteq U U && \text{(Lemma PS3.3.2 and the inductive hypothesis)} \\ &\subseteq U && \text{(Lemma PS3.3.1)}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma PS3.3.4 $Y^*Z \subseteq X$.

Proof. By Lemma PS3.3.3, we have that $Y^*Z \subseteq UZ$. Thus, it will suffice to show that $UZ \subseteq X$. Suppose $w \in UZ$, so that $w = xy$, for some $x \in U$ and $y \in Z$. Because $U \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ and $Z \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$, we have that $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$. We must show that $w \in X$. Suppose, toward a contradiction, that $w \notin X$. Thus 010 is a substring of $w = xy$. Since $y \in Z$, there are two cases to consider.

- ($y = 0^n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$) Because 010 is a substring of $w = xy$, and $x \in X$, we have that 01 is a suffix of x . But this contradicts the fact that $x \in U$.
- ($y = 0^n1$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$) Because 010 is a substring of $w = xy$, and $x \in X$, we have that 01 is a suffix of x . But this contradicts the fact that $x \in U$.

Since we obtained a contradiction in each case, we have an overall contradiction. Thus $w \in X$. \square

Lemma PS3.3.5 $X \subseteq Y^*Z$.

Proof. Since $X \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$, it will suffice to show that, for all $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$,

$$\text{if } w \in X, \text{ then } w \in Y^*Z.$$

We prove this using strong string induction. Suppose $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$, and assume the inductive hypothesis: for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, if $|x| < |w|$, then

$$\text{if } x \in X, \text{ then } x \in Y^*Z.$$

We must show that

$$\text{if } w \in X, \text{ then } w \in Y^*Z.$$

Suppose $w \in X$. We must show that $w \in Y^*Z$. There are three cases to consider.

- ($w = \%$) Then $w = \%(0\%0) \in Y^*Z$.
- ($w = 1x$, for some $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$) Since x is a substring of w , we have that $x \in X$. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis, we have that $x \in Y^*Z$. Hence $w = 1x \in Y(Y^*Z) = (YY^*)Z \subseteq Y^*Z$.
- ($w = 0x$, for some $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be largest such that 0^n is a prefix of x (n is well-defined, because it could always be 0, and can't be bigger than $|x|$); let $y \in \{0, 1\}^*$ be such that $x = 0^n y$. Thus $w = 0^{n+1} y$, and 0 is not a prefix of y .

If $y = \%$, then $w = 0^{n+1} = \%(0^{n+1}\%) \in Y^*Z$. So, suppose $y \neq \%$, so that $y = 1z$ for some $z \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Thus $w = 0^{n+1}1z$.

If $z = \%$, then $w = 0^{n+1}1 = \%(0^{n+1}1) \in Y^*Z$. So, suppose $z \neq \%$. But 0 is not a prefix of z , since then $0^n(010) = 0^{n+1}10$ would be a prefix of w . So, $z = 1u$, for some $u \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Thus $w = 0^{n+1}11u$. Since u is a substring of w , we have that $u \in X$. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis, it follows that $u \in Y^*Z$. Hence $w = (0^{n+1}(11))u \in Y(Y^*Z) = (YY^*)Z \subseteq Y^*Z$.

\square

By Lemmas PS3.3.4 and PS3.3.5, we have that $Y^*Z \subseteq X \subseteq Y^*Z$, i.e., $Y^*Z = X$.