

Assignment 1 (100 Points)

Due by 2:30 p.m. on Thursday, February 11

The context for this assignment is Chapters 2-3 of *TAPL*.

Terms

The set of *terms* is inductively defined by:

$t ::=$	terms:
true	constant true
false	constant false
if t then t else t	conditional
0	constant zero
succ t	successor
pred t	predecessor
iszero t	zero test
error	constant error
t otherwise t	error recovery

We treat true, false, if \bullet then \bullet else, 0, succ \bullet , pred \bullet , iszero \bullet , error and \bullet otherwise \bullet as constructors.

The *inversion lemma for terms* says that, for all terms s , either:

(True) $s = \text{true}$; or

(False) $s = \text{false}$; or

(Conditional) $s = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$, for some terms t_1 , t_2 and t_3 ; or

(Zero) $s = 0$; or

(Successor) $s = \text{succ } t$, for some term t ; or

(Predecessor) $s = \text{pred } t$, for some term t ; or

(Zero Test) $s = \text{iszero } t$, for some term t ; or

(Error) $s = \text{error}$; or

(Error Recovery) $s = t_1$ otherwise t_2 , for some terms t_1 and t_2 .

Suppose P is a predicate on terms. The *principle of structural induction on terms* says that,

for all terms $t, P(t)$

follows from showing:

(True) $P(\text{true})$;

(False) $P(\text{false})$;

(Conditional) for all terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 , if $(\dagger) P(t_1), P(t_2)$ and $P(t_3)$, then $P(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3)$;

(Zero) $P(0)$;

(Successor) for all terms t , if $(\dagger) P(t)$, then $P(\text{succ } t)$;

(Predecessor) for all terms t , if $(\dagger) P(t)$, then $P(\text{pred } t)$;

(Zero Test) for all terms t , if $(\dagger) P(t)$, then $P(\text{iszero } t)$;

(Error) $P(\text{error})$;

(Error Recovery) for all terms t_1 and t_2 , if $(\dagger) P(t_1)$ and $P(t_2)$, then $P(t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2)$.

We refer to (\dagger) as the *inductive hypothesis*.

Values and Answers

The set of *boolean values* is defined by:

$bv ::=$	boolean values:
true	true boolean value
false	false boolean value

The set of *numeric values* is inductively defined by:

$nv ::=$	numeric values:
0	zero numeric value
succ nv	successor numeric value

The *inversion lemma for numeric values* says that, for all numeric values nv , either:

(Zero) $nv = 0$; or

(Successor) $nv = \text{succ } nv'$, for some numeric value nv' .

Suppose P is a predicate on numeric values. The *principle of structural induction on numeric values* says that,

for all numeric values $nv, P(nv)$

follows from showing:

(Zero) $P(0)$;

(Successor) for all numeric values nv , if $(\dagger) P(nv)$, then $P(\text{succ } nv)$.

We refer to (\dagger) as the *inductive hypothesis*.

The set of *values* is defined by:

$v ::=$	values:
bv	boolean value
nv	numeric value

The set of *answers* is defined by:

$a ::=$	answers:
v	value answer
error	error answer

It is easy to prove that every answer is a term.

Evaluation Relation

The *evaluation relation* $\boxed{t \rightarrow t'}$ on terms is inductively defined by:

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$	(E-IfTrue)
if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$	(E-IfFalse)
if nv then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-IfNum)
if error then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-IfError)
$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3}$	(E-If)

$\text{succ } bv \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-SuccBool)
$\text{succ error} \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-SuccError)
$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1}$	(E-Succ)
$\text{pred } bv \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-PredBool)
$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-PredZero)
$\text{pred}(\text{succ } nv) \rightarrow nv$	(E-PredSucc)
$\text{pred error} \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-PredError)
$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1}$	(E-Pred)
$\text{iszero } bv \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-IszeroBool)
$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true}$	(E-IszeroZero)
$\text{iszero}(\text{succ } nv) \rightarrow \text{false}$	(E-IszeroSucc)
$\text{iszero error} \rightarrow \text{error}$	(E-IszeroError)
$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1}$	(E-Iszero)
$v \text{ otherwise } t \rightarrow v$	(E-OtherwiseValue)
$\text{error otherwise } t \rightarrow t$	(E-OtherwiseError)
$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2 \rightarrow t'_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2}$	(E-Otherwise)

The *inversion lemma for the evaluation relation* says that, for all terms s_1 and s_2 , if $s_1 \rightarrow s_2$, then either:

- (**IfTrue**) $s_1 = \text{if true then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ and $s_2 = t_2$, for some terms t_2 and t_3 ; or
- (**IfFalse**) $s_1 = \text{if false then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ and $s_2 = t_3$, for some terms t_2 and t_3 ; or
- (**IfNum**) $s_1 = \text{if } nv \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$, for some numeric value nv and terms t_2 and t_3 ; or
- (**IfError**) $s_1 = \text{if error then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$, for some terms t_2 and t_3 ; or
- (**If**) there are terms t_1, t'_1, t_2 and t_3 such that $s_1 = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$, $s_2 = \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ and $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$; or
- (**SuccBool**) $s_1 = \text{succ } bv$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$, for some some boolean value bv ; or

- (**SuccError**) $s_1 = \text{succ error}$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$; or
- (**Succ**) there are terms t_1 and t'_1 such that $s_1 = \text{succ } t_1$, $s_2 = \text{succ } t'_1$ and $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$; or
- (**PredBool**) $s_1 = \text{pred } bv$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$, for some boolean value bv ; or
- (**PredZero**) $s_1 = \text{pred } 0$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$; or
- (**PredSucc**) $s_1 = \text{pred}(\text{succ } nv)$ and $s_2 = nv$, for some numeric value nv ; or
- (**PredError**) $s_1 = \text{pred error}$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$; or
- (**Pred**) there are terms t_1 and t'_1 such that $s_1 = \text{pred } t_1$, $s_2 = \text{pred } t'_1$ and $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$; or
- (**IszeroBool**) $s_1 = \text{iszero } bv$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$, for some boolean value bv ; or
- (**IszeroZero**) $s_1 = \text{iszero } 0$ and $s_2 = \text{true}$; or
- (**IszeroSucc**) $s_1 = \text{iszero}(\text{succ } nv)$ and $s_2 = \text{false}$, for some numeric value nv ; or
- (**IszeroError**) $s_1 = \text{iszero error}$ and $s_2 = \text{error}$; or
- (**Iszero**) there are terms t_1 and t'_1 such that $s_1 = \text{iszero } t_1$, $s_2 = \text{iszero } t'_1$ and $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$; or
- (**OtherwiseValue**) $s_1 = v \text{ otherwise } t$ and $s_2 = v$, for some term t and value v ; or
- (**OtherwiseError**) $s_1 = \text{error otherwise } t$ and $s_2 = t$, for some term t ; or
- (**Otherwise**) there are terms t_1 and t'_1 such that $s_1 = t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2$, $s_2 = t'_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2$ and $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$.

Suppose P is a binary relation on terms. We sometimes write “ $P(t_1, t_2)$ ” for “ $(t_1, t_2) \in P$ ”. The *principle of induction on the evaluation relation* says that,

$$\text{for all terms } t_1 \text{ and } t_2, \text{ if } t_1 \rightarrow t_2, \text{ then } P(t_1, t_2),$$

follows from showing:

- (**IfTrue**) for all terms t_2 and t_3 , $P(\text{if true then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, t_2)$;
- (**IfFalse**) for all terms t_2 and t_3 , $P(\text{if false then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, t_3)$;
- (**IfNum**) for all numeric values nv and terms t_2 and t_3 , $P(\text{if } nv \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, \text{error})$;
- (**IfError**) for all terms t_2 and t_3 , $P(\text{if error then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, \text{error})$;
- (**If**) for all terms t_1 , t'_1 , t_2 and t_3 , if $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, t'_1)$, then $P(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3)$;

- (**SuccBool**) for all boolean values bv , $P(\text{succ } bv, \text{error})$;
- (**SuccError**) $P(\text{succ error}, \text{error})$;
- (**Succ**) for all terms t_1 and t'_1 , if $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, t'_1)$, then $P(\text{succ } t_1, \text{succ } t'_1)$;
- (**PredBool**) for all boolean values bv , $P(\text{pred } bv, \text{error})$;
- (**PredZero**) $P(\text{pred } 0, \text{error})$;
- (**PredSucc**) for all numeric values nv , $P(\text{pred}(\text{succ } nv), nv)$;
- (**PredError**) $P(\text{pred error}, \text{error})$;
- (**Pred**) for all terms t_1 and t'_1 , if $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, t'_1)$, then $P(\text{pred } t_1, \text{pred } t'_1)$;
- (**IszeroBool**) for all boolean values bv , $P(\text{iszero } bv, \text{error})$;
- (**IszeroZero**) $P(\text{iszero } 0, \text{true})$;
- (**IszeroSucc**) for all numeric values nv , $P(\text{iszero}(\text{succ } nv), \text{false})$;
- (**IszeroError**) $P(\text{iszero error}, \text{error})$;
- (**Iszero**) for all terms t_1 and t'_1 , if $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, t'_1)$, then $P(\text{iszero } t_1, \text{iszero } t'_1)$;
- (**OtherwiseValue**) for all terms t and values v , $P(v \text{ otherwise } t, v)$;
- (**OtherwiseError**) for all terms t , $P(\text{error otherwise } t, t)$;
- (**Otherwise**) for all terms t_1 and t'_1 , if $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, t'_1)$, then $P(t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2, t'_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2)$.

We refer to (\dagger) as the *inductive hypothesis*.

Reflexive-Transitive Closure of Evaluation Relation

The *reflexive-transitive closure of the evaluation relation* $t \rightarrow^* t$ is inductively defined by:

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t_2}{t_1 \rightarrow^* t_2} \quad (\text{RTCE-Eval})$$

$$t \rightarrow^* t \quad (\text{RTCE-Refl})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow^* t_2 \quad t_2 \rightarrow^* t_3}{t_1 \rightarrow^* t_3} \quad (\text{RTCE-Trans})$$

The *inversion lemma for the reflexive-transitive closure of the evaluation relation* says that, for all terms t_1 and t_2 , if $t_1 \rightarrow^* t_2$, then either:

(Eval) $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$; or

(Ref1) $t_1 = t_2$; or

(Trans) there is a term t' such that $t_1 \rightarrow^* t'$ and $t' \rightarrow^* t_2$.

Suppose P is a binary relation on terms. The *principle of induction on the reflexive-transitive closure of the evaluation relation* says that,

for all terms t_1 and t_2 , if $t_1 \rightarrow^* t_2$, then $P(t_1, t_2)$,

follows from showing:

(Eval) for all terms t_1 and t_2 , if $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, then $P(t_1, t_2)$;

(Ref1) for all terms t , $P(t, t)$;

(Trans) for all terms t_1 , t_2 and t_3 , if $t_1 \rightarrow^* t_2$ and $t_2 \rightarrow^* t_3$, and (\dagger) $P(t_1, t_2)$ and $P(t_2, t_3)$, then $P(t_1, t_3)$.

We refer to (\dagger) as the *inductive hypothesis*.

Complete Evaluation Relation

The *complete evaluation relation* $t \Rightarrow a$ between terms and answers is inductively defined by:

$\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{true}$	(CE-True)
$\text{false} \Rightarrow \text{false}$	(CE-False)
$0 \Rightarrow 0$	(CE-Zero)
$\text{error} \Rightarrow \text{error}$	(CE-Error)
$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad t_2 \Rightarrow a}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Rightarrow a}$	(CE-IfTrue)
$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad t_3 \Rightarrow a}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Rightarrow a}$	(CE-IfFalse)
$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{nv}}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-IfNum)
$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{error}}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-IfError)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow \text{bv}}{\text{succ } t \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-SuccBool)

$\frac{t \Rightarrow nv}{\text{succ } t \Rightarrow \text{succ } nv}$	(CE-SuccNum)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow \text{error}}{\text{succ } t \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-SuccError)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow bv}{\text{pred } t \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-PredBool)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow 0}{\text{pred } t \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-PredZero)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow \text{succ } nv}{\text{pred } t \Rightarrow nv}$	(CE-PredSucc)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow \text{error}}{\text{pred } t \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-PredError)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow bv}{\text{iszero } t \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-IszeroBool)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow 0}{\text{iszero } t \Rightarrow \text{true}}$	(CE-IszeroZero)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow \text{succ } nv}{\text{iszero } t \Rightarrow \text{false}}$	(CE-IszeroSucc)
$\frac{t \Rightarrow \text{error}}{\text{iszero } t \Rightarrow \text{error}}$	(CE-IszeroError)
$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow v}{t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2 \Rightarrow v}$	(CE-OtherwiseValue)
$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{error} \quad t_2 \Rightarrow a}{t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2 \Rightarrow a}$	(CE-OtherwiseError)

The *inversion lemma for the complete evaluation relation* says that, for all terms s and answers b , if $s \Rightarrow b$, then either:

- (**True**) $s = \text{true}$ and $b = \text{true}$; or
- (**False**) $s = \text{false}$ and $b = \text{false}$; or
- (**Zero**) $s = 0$ and $b = 0$; or
- (**Error**) $s = \text{error}$ and $b = \text{error}$; or
- (**IfTrue**) there are terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 and an answer a such that $s = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$,
 $b = a$, $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}$ and $t_2 \Rightarrow a$; or
- (**IfFalse**) there are terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 and an answer a such that $s = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$,
 $b = a$, $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{false}$ and $t_3 \Rightarrow a$; or
- (**IfNum**) there are terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 and a numeric value nv such that $s = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t_1 \Rightarrow nv$; or

- (IfError)** there are terms t_1 , t_2 and t_3 such that $s = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{error}$; or
- (SuccBool)** there is a term t and a boolean value bv such that $s = \text{succ } t$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t \Rightarrow bv$; or
- (SuccNum)** there is a term t and a numeric value nv such that $s = \text{succ } t$, $b = \text{succ } nv$ and $t \Rightarrow nv$; or
- (SuccError)** there is a term t such that $s = \text{succ } t$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t \Rightarrow \text{error}$; or
- (PredBool)** there is a term t and a boolean value bv such that $s = \text{pred } t$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t \Rightarrow bv$; or
- (PredZero)** there is a term t such that $s = \text{pred } t$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t \Rightarrow 0$; or
- (PredSucc)** there is a term t and a numeric value nv such that $s = \text{pred } t$, $b = nv$ and $t \Rightarrow \text{succ } nv$; or
- (PredError)** there is a term t such that $s = \text{pred } t$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t \Rightarrow \text{error}$; or
- (IszeroBool)** there is a term t and a boolean value bv such that $s = \text{iszero } t$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t \Rightarrow bv$; or
- (IszeroZero)** there is a term t such that $s = \text{iszero } t$, $b = \text{true}$ and $t \Rightarrow 0$; or
- (IszeroSucc)** there is a term t and a numeric value nv such that $s = \text{iszero } t$, $b = \text{false}$ and $t \Rightarrow \text{succ } nv$; or
- (IszeroError)** there is a term t such that $s = \text{iszero } t$, $b = \text{error}$ and $t \Rightarrow \text{error}$; or
- (OtherwiseValue)** there are terms t_1 and t_2 and a value v such that $s = t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2$, $b = v$ and $t_1 \Rightarrow v$; or
- (OtherwiseError)** there are terms t_1 and t_2 and an answer a such that $s = t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2$, $b = a$, $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{error}$ and $t_2 \Rightarrow a$.

Suppose P is a predicate on a term and an answer. The *principle of induction on the complete evaluation relation* says that,

for all terms t and answers a , if $t \Rightarrow a$, then $P(t, a)$,

follows from showing:

(True) $P(\text{true}, \text{true})$;

(False) $P(\text{false}, \text{false})$;

- (Zero)** $P(0, 0)$;
- (Error)** $P(\text{error}, \text{error})$;
- (IfTrue)** for all terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 and answers a , if $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}$ and $t_2 \Rightarrow a$, and $(\dagger) P(t_1, \text{true})$ and $P(t_2, a)$, then $P(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, a)$;
- (IfFalse)** for all terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 and answers a , if $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{false}$ and $t_3 \Rightarrow a$, and $(\dagger) P(t_1, \text{false})$ and $P(t_3, a)$, then $P(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, a)$;
- (IfNum)** for all terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 and numeric values nv , if $t_1 \Rightarrow nv$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, nv)$, then $P(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, \text{error})$;
- (IfError)** for all terms t_1, t_2 and t_3 , if $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{error}$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, \text{error})$, then $P(\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3, \text{error})$;
- (SuccBool)** for all terms t and boolean values bv , if $t \Rightarrow bv$ and $(\dagger) P(t, bv)$, then $P(\text{succ } t, \text{error})$;
- (SuccNum)** for all terms t and numeric values nv , if $t \Rightarrow nv$ and $(\dagger) P(t, nv)$, then $P(\text{succ } t, \text{succ } nv)$;
- (SuccError)** for all terms t , if $t \Rightarrow \text{error}$ and $(\dagger) P(t, \text{error})$, then $P(\text{succ } t, \text{error})$;
- (PredBool)** for all terms t , if $t \Rightarrow bv$ and $(\dagger) P(t, bv)$, then $P(\text{pred } t, \text{error})$;
- (PredZero)** for all terms t , if $t \Rightarrow 0$ and $(\dagger) P(t, 0)$, then $P(\text{pred } t, \text{error})$;
- (PredSucc)** for all terms t and numeric values nv , if $t \Rightarrow \text{succ } nv$ and $(\dagger) P(t, \text{succ } nv)$, then $P(\text{pred } t, nv)$;
- (PredError)** for all terms t , if $t \Rightarrow \text{error}$ and $(\dagger) P(t, \text{error})$, then $P(\text{pred } t, \text{error})$;
- (IszeroBool)** for all terms t and boolean values bv , if $t \Rightarrow bv$ and $(\dagger) P(t, bv)$, then $P(\text{iszero } t, \text{error})$;
- (IszeroZero)** for all terms t , if $t \Rightarrow 0$ and $(\dagger) P(t, 0)$, then $P(\text{iszero } t, \text{true})$;
- (IszeroSucc)** for all terms t and numeric values nv , if $t \Rightarrow \text{succ } nv$ and $P(t, \text{succ } nv)$, then $P(\text{iszero } t, \text{false})$;
- (IszeroError)** for all terms t , if $t \Rightarrow \text{error}$ and $(\dagger) P(t, \text{error})$, then $P(\text{iszero } t, \text{error})$;
- (OtherwiseValue)** for all terms t_1 and t_2 and values v , if $t_1 \Rightarrow v$ and $(\dagger) P(t_1, v)$, then $P(t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2, v)$;

(OtherwiseError) for all terms t_1 and t_2 and answers a , if $t_1 \Rightarrow \text{error}$ and $t_2 \Rightarrow a$, and
(†) $P(t_1, \text{error})$ and $P(t_2, a)$, then $P(t_1 \text{ otherwise } t_2, a)$.

We refer to (†) as the *inductive hypothesis*.

Exercise 1 (25 Points)

Prove that the evaluation relation (\rightarrow) is *deterministic*: for all terms t_1, t_2 and t'_2 , if $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ and $t_1 \rightarrow t'_2$, then $t_2 = t'_2$.

Exercise 2 (25 Points)

Prove that the complete evaluation relation (\Rightarrow) is *deterministic*: for all terms t , and answers a and a' , if $t \Rightarrow a$ and $t \Rightarrow a'$, then $a = a'$.

Exercise 3 (50 Points)

Define a relation \rightsquigarrow between terms and answers by: for all terms t and answers a , $t \rightsquigarrow a$ iff $t \rightarrow^* a$.

Prove that $\rightsquigarrow = \Rightarrow$.