3.5: Isomorphism of Finite Automata

Let M and N be the finite automata



How are M and N related?

3.5: Isomorphism of Finite Automata

Let M and N be the finite automata



How are M and N related? Although they are not equal, they do have the same "structure", in that M can be turned into N by replacing A, B and C by A, C and B, respectively. When FAs have the same structure, we will say they are "isomorphic".

- $h s_M =$
- $\{ h q \mid q \in A_M \} =$
- $\{(hq), x \rightarrow (hr) \mid q, x \rightarrow r \in T_M\} =$

- $h s_M = s_N;$
- $\{ h q \mid q \in A_M \} =$
- $\{(hq), x \rightarrow (hr) \mid q, x \rightarrow r \in T_M\} =$

- $h s_M = s_N;$
- $\{ h q \mid q \in A_M \} = A_N$; and
- $\{(hq), x \rightarrow (hr) \mid q, x \rightarrow r \in T_M\} =$

- $h s_M = s_N;$
- $\{ h q \mid q \in A_M \} = A_N$; and
- $\{(hq), x \rightarrow (hr) \mid q, x \rightarrow r \in T_M\} = T_N.$

An isomorphism h from an FA M to an FA N is a bijection from Q_M to Q_N such that

- $h s_M = s_N;$
- $\{ h q \mid q \in A_M \} = A_N$; and
- $\{(hq), x \rightarrow (hr) \mid q, x \rightarrow r \in T_M\} = T_N.$

We define a relation **iso** on **FA** by: M **iso** N iff there is an isomorphism from M to N. We say that M and N are *isomorphic* iff M **iso** N.

An isomorphism h from an FA M to an FA N is a bijection from Q_M to Q_N such that

- $h s_M = s_N;$
- $\{ h q \mid q \in A_M \} = A_N$; and
- $\{(hq), x \rightarrow (hr) \mid q, x \rightarrow r \in T_M\} = T_N.$

We define a relation iso on **FA** by: M iso N iff there is an isomorphism from M to N. We say that M and N are *isomorphic* iff M iso N.

Consider our example FAs M and N, and let h be the function

 $\{(A, A), (B, C), (C, B)\}.$

Then h is an isomorphism from M to N. Hence M iso N.

Clearly, if M and N are isomorphic, then they have the same alphabet.

Proposition 3.5.1

The relation iso is reflexive on FA, symmetric and transitive.

Proposition 3.5.2

Suppose M and N are isomorphic FAs. Then $L(M) \subseteq L(N)$.

Proof.

Proposition 3.5.2

Suppose M and N are isomorphic FAs. Then $L(M) \subseteq L(N)$.

Proof. Let *h* be an isomorphism from *M* to *N*. Suppose $w \in L(M)$. Then, there is a labeled path

$$lp = q_1 \stackrel{x_1}{\Rightarrow} q_2 \stackrel{x_2}{\Rightarrow} \cdots q_n \stackrel{x_n}{\Rightarrow} q_{n+1},$$

such that $w = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$, *lp* is valid for *M*, $q_1 = s_M$ and $q_{n+1} \in A_M$. Let

$$lp' = h q_1 \stackrel{x_1}{\Rightarrow} h q_2 \stackrel{x_2}{\Rightarrow} \cdots h q_n \stackrel{x_n}{\Rightarrow} h q_{n+1}.$$

Then the label of lp' is w, lp' is valid for N, $hq_1 = hs_M = s_N$ and $hq_{n+1} \in A_N$, showing that $w \in L(N)$. \Box

Proposition 3.5.3 Suppose *M* and *N* are isomorphic FAs. Then $M \approx N$.

Proof.

 \square

Proposition 3.5.3

Suppose M and N are isomorphic FAs. Then $M \approx N$.

Proof. Since M iso N, we have that N iso M, by Proposition 3.5.1.

Proposition 3.5.3

Suppose M and N are isomorphic FAs. Then $M \approx N$.

Proof. Since *M* iso *N*, we have that *N* iso *M*, by Proposition 3.5.1. Thus, by Proposition 3.5.2, we have that $L(M) \subseteq L(N) \subseteq L(M)$. Hence L(M) = L(N), i.e., $M \approx N$. \Box

Renaming States

The function **renameStates** takes in a pair (M, f), where $M \in FA$ and f is a bijection from Q_M to some set of symbols, and returns the **FA** produced from M by renaming M's states using the bijection f.

Proposition 3.5.4

Suppose *M* is an FA and *f* is a bijection from Q_M to some set of symbols. Then renameStates(M, f) iso *M*.

Renaming States

The function **renameStates** takes in a pair (M, f), where $M \in FA$ and f is a bijection from Q_M to some set of symbols, and returns the **FA** produced from M by renaming M's states using the bijection f.

Proposition 3.5.4

Suppose *M* is an FA and *f* is a bijection from Q_M to some set of symbols. Then renameStates(*M*, *f*) iso *M*.

The following function is a special case of **renameStates**. The function **renameStatesCanonically** \in **FA** \rightarrow **FA** renames the states of an FA *M* to:

- A, B, etc., when the automaton has no more than 26 states (the smallest state of *M* will be renamed to A, the next smallest one to B, etc.); or
- $\langle 1 \rangle$, $\langle 2 \rangle$, etc., otherwise.

An Algorithm for Finding Isomorphisms

The book presents and proves the correctness of a relatively simple algorithm for finding an isomorphism from one FA to another, if one exists, and for indicating that there are no such isomorphisms, otherwise.

Isomorphism Finding/Checking in Forlan

The Forlan module FA also defines the functions

val	isomorphism	:	fa	*	<pre>fa * sym_rel -> bool</pre>
val	findIsomorphism	:	fa	*	fa -> sym_rel
val	isomorphic	:	fa	*	fa -> bool
val	renameStates	:	fa	*	sym_rel -> fa
val	renameStatesCanonically	:	fa	-:	> fa

Suppose that fal and fal have been bound to our example finite automata M and N, respectively. Then, here are some example uses of the above functions:

```
- val rel = FA.findIsomorphism(fa1, fa2);
val rel = - : sym_rel
- SymRel.output("", rel);
(A, A), (B, C), (C, B)
val it = () : unit
- FA.isomorphism(fa1, fa2, rel);
val it = true : bool
- FA.isomorphic(fa1, fa2);
val it = true : bool
```

```
- val rel' = FA.findIsomorphism(fa1, fa1);
val rel' = - : sym_rel
- SymRel.output("", rel');
(A, A), (B, B), (C, C)
val it = () : unit
- FA.isomorphism(fa1, fa1, rel');
val it = true : bool
- FA.isomorphism(fa1, fa2, rel');
val it = false : bool
```

```
- val rel'' = SymRel.input "";
@ (A, 2), (B, 1), (C, 0)
@ .
val rel'' = - : sym_rel
- val fa3 = FA.renameStates(fa1, rel'');
val fa3 = - : fa
- FA.output("", fa3);
{states} 0, 1, 2 {start state} 2
{accepting states} 0, 1, 2
{transitions} 0, 1 -> 1; 2, 0 -> 1 | 2; 2, 1 -> 0
val it = () : unit
```

```
- val fa4 = FA.renameStatesCanonically fa3;
val fa4 = - : fa
- FA.output("", fa4);
{states} A, B, C {start state} C
{accepting states} A, B, C
{transitions} A, 1 -> B; C, 0 -> B | C; C, 1 -> A
val it = () : unit
- FA.equal(fa4, fa1);
val it = false : bool
- FA.isomorphic(fa4, fa1);
val it = true : bool
```